散乱によるビームロス*

*Beam loss due to the scattering

2014年1月28日(火)14時00分 ビームダイナミクスWG打ち合わせ

コンスタンティノワ オリガ 中村 典雄

Outline

- Beam loss studies at Compact-ERL
 - Motivation
 - Lattice & Optics
 - Touschek effect
 - Intra-Beam Scattering
 - Residual Gas Scattering (elastic, inelastic)
 - Summary & Outlook

Beam loss studies at Compact ERL Motivation

3 of the beam loss mechanisms include into ERL beam loss:

- 1. Touschek Effect (TS) and Intra-Beam Scattering (IBS),
- 2. Residual Gas Scattering (RGS): elastic (RES) and inelastic (RIS)),
- 3. Field emission (FE).
- I performed the simulations for TS, IBS, RES and RIS.
- I used existing and modified ELEGANT routine to perform the simulations.
- I also developed a MATLAB data analysis algorithm to handle the large amount of information that is produced by the program.
- Then the data obtained then are compared with the theoretical estimation to verify the accuracy of the simulation.

ビームダイナミクスWG打ち合わせ

Beam parameters*	Simulation	cERL
Maximum energy	20 MeV	20 MeV
Total beam current	10 mA	10 – 100 mA
Repetition	1.3 GHz	1.3 GHz
Charge per bunch	7.7 pC	7.7 – 77 pC
Normalized beam emittance	1 mm·mrad	0.1 – 1.0 mm∙mrad
Rms momentum spread	1.10 ⁻³	< 3.10-4
Bunch length	2 ps	1-3 ps





Touschek effect Physics

The Touschek effect is large angle Coulomb collisions in an electron bunch that lead to momentum transfers from the transverse into the longitudinal directions

• Touschek scattering rate*:

$$R\left[\frac{particles}{\sec}\right] = \frac{r_p^2 c \beta_x \beta_y \sigma_h N_p^2}{8\sqrt{\pi}\beta^2 \gamma^4 \sigma_{x\beta}^2 \sigma_{y\beta}^2 \sigma_s \sigma_p} \times F(\tau_m, B_1, B_2) \left| \begin{array}{c} * \text{A. Piwinsiki, DESY,} \\ 1998. \end{array} \right|$$

Non-dispersive section (dispersion function=0)

No betatron oscillation occur due to momentum changes in TS. Mainly loss occurs when position deviation due to dispersion at downstream dispersive section exceeds the chamber aperture.

Dispersive section

Betatron oscillation amplitude changes due to position deviation from dispersion. Loss occurs when deviation due to the beta oscillations exceeds the chamber aperture.



Touschek effect Result

- Maximum loss rate peak of 21 pA/m is observed at s = 93m where dispersion is large due to bending magnets and transverse aperture is small (2.5cm x 2.5cm collimator in the Lattice).
- The origins of the losses are Touschek scatterings in the two arc sections





Touschek effect Theoretical estimation

	TS (cERL)	TS (Cornell)	
С	3.00E+08	3.00E+08	
rO	2.82E-15	2.82E-15	
Nb	4.80E+07	4.81E+08	
gamma	39.21	9803.92	
Vb	6.82E-09	8.18E-12	
ex	2.55E-08	3.06E-11	
ey	2.55E-08	3.06E-11	
bx	10	10	
by	10	10	
SX	50.49E-5	1.74929E-05	
sy	50.49E-5	1.74929E-05	
SZ	6.00E-04	6.00E-04	
sigmax'	5.05E-05	1.74929E-06	
MA	0.01	0.01	
epsilon	25.50	0.34	
Cepsilon	-3.14	-1.27	
dN/dt	1.47E+07	9.21E+05	
Rate(pA/m)	1.11E-1	6.39E-01	

ビームダイナミクスWG打ち合わせ

Blue=assumed



Intra-Beam Scattering IBS emittance growth rate simulation

Intra-Beam Scattering is a multiple small-angle Coulomb scattering inside the beam. I used a simple ELEGANT routine to examine how the IBS effect impacts the emittance growth. The calculation is based on Bjorken - Mitingwa formula.

• IBS emittance growth rate estimation formula*:

$$\frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{r_p^2 N c}{\gamma^2 \varepsilon_x \varepsilon_y \sigma_s \sigma_p} \times K_n \Lambda_n \Lambda_c$$

 $K_n = \Lambda_n = 1$, $\Lambda_c = 10 \sim 15$ is the Coulomb logarithm for typical electron beams $\varepsilon = 0.3 \sim 2.1$ mm · mrad

For the typical cERL bunch parameters the transverse emittance growth rate is about 450 s⁻¹.

Simulated emittance growth rates for horizontal ("ibsEmitX"), vertical ("ibsEmitY"), and longitudinal ("ibsEmitL") directions, and theoretical estimation curve ("ibsTheor").



	cERL
N	5.00E+06
gamma	39.21568627
enx(m rad)	1.00E-06
eny(m rad)	1.00E-06
dp/p	1.00E-03
ds(m)	6.00E-04
F	2.13E+23
1/t	9.57E-06
t(sec)	104442.7122
t(hour)	29.0118645
dt	3.33333E-07
Kn	1
Lambda_n	1
Lambda_c	12.5
re(m)	2.82E-15
c (m/sec)	3.00E+08
1/t(1/s)	1.61E+02

- Only very large IBS growth rates make noticeable the distribution change, because bunch spends in ERL very short time.
- The IBS will cause the significant effect on beam distribution in ERLs in the case of very long transport of high-brightness beams at low energies.

ビームダイナミクスWG打ち合わせ * A. V. Fedotov, KEK, 2011.



Residual Gas Scattering Physics

The interactions between the beam particles and the residual gas atoms/molecules (RGS) may degrade the beam guality and can cause the beam losses. There are two principally different effects: elastic scattering and inelastic scattering.

- 1. In the elastic scattering, the bunch particles are transversally deflected and its betatron oscillation amplitudes are increased. If the amplitude is large enough to exceed the transverse aperture of the accelerator, the particles are lost.
- 2. In the case of inelastic scattering the energy of particles is reduced due to Bremsstrahlung on gas nuclei, when the electron is deflected by the residual gas nucleus and it emits a photon. Another way is excitation of a gas atom due to direct energy transfer from the electron to the residual gas atom. The beam particles are lost because the energy is beyond the acceptance of the beam line.
- Differential scattering rate*:

$$w = \frac{dN}{dtd\Omega} = N_{beam} v_{beam} \rho_{t \, \text{arg}et} \, \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$$

Loss rate per bunch per solid angle (elastic) or per energy (inelastic)

ビームダイナミクスWG打ち合わせ

Scattering rate:

$$R = \frac{dN}{dt} = N_{beam} v_{beam} \rho_{t \arg et} \sigma$$

$$N_{beam} - \text{number incident particles}$$

$$\rho_{t \arg et} - \text{residual gas density}$$

$$v_t - \text{relative velocity between}$$

- beam beam" and "target"
- integrated elastic σ (Rutherford) or inelastic scattering cross-section

C. J. Bocchetta, CAS, 2003.



• Lost particles number per unit of longitudinal distance:

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = \frac{c}{f} \frac{dN}{ds}$$

f – the repetition frequency (1.3 GHz for cERL) 平成26年1月28日

Residual Gas Scattering Physics of elastic scattering

Differential elastic (Rutherford) scattering cross-section*

Elastic scattering (classical) $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{Z^2 r_p^2}{4m_e^4 c^4 v^4 \sin^4\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)}$ Elastic scattering (QED) $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{d\sigma}{2\pi \sin\theta d\theta} = \frac{Z^2 r_p^2 m_e^2 \left(1 - \beta^2 \sin^4\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\right)}{4p^2 \beta^2 \sin^4\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)}$

Integrated scattering cross-section

$$\sigma = \int_{\theta_{acc}}^{\pi} \frac{d\sigma}{d\theta} d\theta = \frac{Z^2 r_p^2 m_e^2}{4p^2 \gamma^2} \left\{ -\frac{2}{\beta^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{1 - \cos(\theta_{acc})} \right) - \log\left(\frac{2}{1 - \cos(\theta_{acc})} \right) \right\}$$

 $\theta_{acc}^{j} = \sqrt{H / \beta_{j}}$ - transverse angle acceptance at element j $H = (A(s)^{2} / \beta(s))_{min}$ - machine acceptance = min aperture over the beamline

* http://www7b.biglobe.ne.jp/~kcy05t/rathef.html



b - electron velocity / c

ビームダイナミクスWG打ち合わせ

平成26年1月28日

Residual Gas Scattering Physics of inelastic scattering

合わせ

• Differential inelastic scattering cross-section

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\varepsilon} = \frac{4\alpha r_p^2}{d\varepsilon} \left(\frac{4}{3} \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{E} \right) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{E^2} \right) F(Z) + Z(Z+1) \left(\frac{1}{9} \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{E} \right) \right)$$
$$F(Z) = Z^2 \left(\log(183) - \frac{1}{3} \log(Z) \right) + Z \left(\log(1194) - \frac{2}{3} \log(Z) \right)$$
Bremsstrahlung Gas atom excitation

• Integrated inelastic scattering cross-section

$$\sigma = \int_{dE_{min}}^{dE_{max}} \frac{d\sigma}{d\varepsilon} d\varepsilon = 4\alpha r_p^2 \left(\frac{4}{3} \log \left(\frac{dE_{max}}{dE_{min}} \right) - \frac{4}{3} \frac{dE_{max} - dE_{min}}{E} + \frac{dE_{max}^2 - dE_{min}^2}{2E^2} \right) F(Z) + Z(Z+1) \left(\frac{1}{9} \left(\log \left(\frac{dE_{max}}{dE_{min}} \right) - \frac{dE_{max} - dE_{min}}{E} \right) \right)$$

- Z atomic number of nucleus
- rp classical electron radius
- E electron energy
- de energy loss
- dEmax largest energy loss dEmin – lowest energy loss

平成26年1月28日

Residual Gas Scattering Code construction

• Gas component mixture:

$$R = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{gas}} \sigma^{i} N_{beam} \rho^{i}_{t \arg et} \upsilon.$$

$$\rho_{t\,\mathrm{arg}\,et}^{i} = P^{i} \frac{P^{i} N_{A}}{P_{1atm} V_{std}},$$

- P^i the partial pressure of the gas component *i*
- $P_{1atm} = 101325$ (Pa) is the standard atmosphere

 $N_A = 6.0221 \times 10^{23}$ (mol⁻¹) is the Avogadro's constant

 $V_{std} = 22.414$ (L/mol) is the gas molar volume at the standard temperature and pressure

Residual gas parameters (CO, carbon monoxide) *

Gas pressure	10 ⁻⁶ Pa
Gas component number	2
Component fraction	0.5 and 0.5
Charge number	6 and 8 (C and O)
Mass number	12 and 16

```
File Edit Format View Help
       n_{steps} = 1,
&end
&insert_elements
       name = *.
       type = *
       s_start = 0.0,
       s_end = 100.0,
element_def = "RGSO: RGSCATTER",
&end
&rg_scatter
       frequency = 1.3e9,
       charge = 7.7e-12,
       emit_nx = 1e-6,
       emit_ny = 1e-6
       sigma_dp = 1e-3,
       sigma_s = 6e-4,
       distribution_cutoff[0] = 5*5,
       bunch = \%s - \%031d.rgbun,
        loss = %s-%03ld.rglos,
       !distribution = %s-%031d.dis,
       output=%s-%03ld.rgout,
       !initial = %s-%03ld.ini.
       verbosity=2,
        i_start = 1,
        i_end = 616.
       do_track = 1,
       nbins=100,
       n_simulated = 5000000.
       ignored_portion = 0.01,
       match_position_only
       overwrite_files=1,
       gas_pressure=1.0e-6,
       n_gas=2,
       gas_fraction[0] = 0.5, 0.5, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,
       &end
&stop &end
                             平成26年1月28日
```

RG - Notepad

Results (I)

- Scattering points are situated in the vacuum chambers
- Beam loss currents due to RGS are small, however, one should concern them as a possible source of irradiation because these processes typically occur in the vertical plane when the amplitude is increased by betatron oscillations.



Results (II)

 Thus, I expect the peak loss current to be 58 pA/m for RES and 1.3·10⁻² pA/m for RIS; and the average beam loss current due to RES to be 0.76 pA/m and due to RIS to be 5.9·10⁻⁵ pA/m.



Residual Gas Scattering Theoretical estimation



Average^{0.001}

0.0001

n

500

1000

A. B. Temnykh, EPAC, 2008.

1500

2000

Ł

15



90

100

80

0.

20

30 40 50 60 70

s, [m]

2500 z[m] 3000 Average

Beam loss studies at Compact ERL Summary & Outlook

- We found the beam loss from all these effects in cERL are still not so significant, namely less the 1µA.
- Touschek effect should be taken into account mainly because is causes the radiation doses in the insertion devices.
- IBS would impact into beam losses for low energy operation mode or for very long beam transport.
- RGS includes to the beam losses, when pumping is not sufficient or situated at wrong places.

Field emission?!

Ion trapping?!

		TS	RES	RIS
cERL	Peak, pA/m	21	58	1.3·10 ⁻²
cERL	Average, pA/m	0.04	0.76	5.9·10 ⁻⁵
cERL theor.	Average, pA/m	0.11	0.44	1.4·10 ⁻⁵
Cornell***	Average, pA/m	0.6	7·10 ⁻⁴	
Cornell theor.	Average, pA/m	0.64	7.74.10-4	

平成26年1月28日

ご清聴どうもありがとうございました

平成26年1月28日

Appendices



平成26年1月28日

Touschek Effect Piwinski Loss Rate

Input parameters

rp=raduis of the particle=2.8179e-15 [m]

c=3e8 [m/sec]

βx,y=betatron function (from init.twi file)

Np=number of particles per bunch= =charge in bunch/electron charge

β=0.99967 (for Emax=20MeV)

γ=39.12894 (for Emax=20MeV)

me=0.511[MeV]

Dx,y=dispersion function (from init.twi file)

 $\sigma_{x\beta,\sigma_y\beta}$ =horizontal & vertical betatron width = $\sqrt{(\beta_{x,y}*e_{mitx,y})}$

emitx,y=normalized emittance=1e-6 [mm-mrad]

σs=rms bunch length=6e-4 [m]

 σ_p =relative momentum spread=1e-3

$$R\left[\text{particles/s}\right] = \frac{r_p^2 c \beta_x \beta_y \sigma_h N_p^2}{8\sqrt{\pi}\beta^2 \gamma^4 \sigma_{x\beta}^2 \sigma_{y\beta}^2 \sigma_s \sigma_p} F\left(\tau_m, B_{1,B_2}\right)$$

Momentum Aperture:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{m} &= \beta^{2} \delta_{m}^{2} = \beta^{2} \left(\frac{\Delta p_{m}}{p} \right)^{2} \qquad \sigma_{h}^{2} = \frac{\sigma_{x\beta} \sigma_{y\beta} \sigma_{p}}{\sqrt{\tilde{\sigma}_{x}^{2} \sigma_{y\beta}^{2} + \tilde{\sigma}_{y}^{2} \sigma_{x\beta}^{2} - \sigma_{x\beta}^{2} \sigma_{y\beta}^{2}}} \\ F &= \int_{\tau_{n}}^{\infty} \left| \left(2 + \frac{1}{\tau} \right)^{2} \left(\frac{\tau/\tau_{m}}{1 + \tau} - 1 \right) + 1 - \frac{\sqrt{(1 + \tau)}}{\sqrt{\tau/\tau_{m}}} - \frac{1}{2\tau} \left(4 + \frac{1}{\tau} \right) \ln \frac{\tau/\tau_{m}}{1 + \tau} \right) e^{-B_{1}\tau} I_{0} \left(B_{2}\tau \right) \frac{\sqrt{\tau} d\tau}{\sqrt{1 + \tau}} \\ B_{1} &= \frac{\beta_{x}^{2}}{2\beta^{2} \gamma^{2} \sigma_{x\beta}^{2}} \left| 1 - \frac{\sigma_{h}^{2} \tilde{D}_{x}^{2}}{\sigma_{x\beta}^{2}} \right| + \frac{\beta_{y}^{2}}{2\beta^{2} \gamma^{2} \sigma_{y\beta}^{2}} \left(1 - \frac{\sigma_{h}^{2} \tilde{D}_{y}^{2}}{\sigma_{y\beta}^{2}} \right) \qquad \tilde{\sigma}_{x,y}^{2} = \sigma_{x\beta,y\beta}^{2} + \sigma_{p}^{2} \left(D_{x,y}^{2} + \tilde{D}_{x,y}^{2} \right) \\ B_{2}^{2} &= B_{1}^{2} - \frac{\beta_{x}^{2} \beta_{y}^{2} \sigma_{h}^{2}}{\beta^{4} \gamma^{4} \sigma_{x\beta}^{4} \sigma_{y\beta}^{4} \sigma_{p}^{2}} \left(\sigma_{x}^{2} \sigma_{y}^{2} - \sigma_{p}^{4} D_{x}^{2} D_{y}^{2} \right) \qquad \tilde{T} = \tilde{D}_{x,y}^{2} - \tilde{D}_{x,y}^{2} + \tilde{D}_{x,y}^{2} \right) \\ \tilde{T} = \tilde{D}_{x}^{2} - \tilde{D}_{x,y}^{2} + \tilde{D}_{x,y}^{2} + \tilde{D}_{x,y}^{2} \right)$$

IBS emittance growth rate simulation Bjorken – Mtingwa formula

The growth times for the longitudinal phase space and momentum and buncl distributions are: $1 \quad 1 \quad d\sigma_r^2 = \sigma_r^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{r_p} &= \frac{1}{2\sigma_p^2} \frac{d\sigma_p}{dt} = A \frac{\sigma_h^2}{\sigma_p^2} f(a,b,c) \\ &= \frac{1}{r_x} = \frac{1}{2\sigma_{x\beta}^2} \frac{d\sigma_{x\beta}^2}{dt} = A \frac{\sigma_h^2}{\sigma_p^2} \left[f\left(\frac{1}{a}, \frac{b}{a}, \frac{c}{a}\right) + \frac{\eta^2 \sigma_p^2}{\sigma_{x\beta}} f(a,b,c) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{r_x} = \frac{1}{2\sigma_{x\beta}^2} \frac{d\sigma_{x\beta}^2}{dt} = A \frac{\sigma_h^2}{\sigma_p^2} f\left(\frac{1}{b}, \frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{b}\right) \end{aligned}$$
with $A = \frac{r_e^2 cN_b}{64\pi^2 \sigma_s \sigma_p \sigma_{x\beta} \sigma_{y\beta} \sigma_{x'} \sigma_{y'} \beta^3 \gamma^4}$ the particle bunch density.
The function f is: $f(a,b,c) = 8\pi \int_0^1 \left[\ln \left[\frac{c^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \right) \right] - 0.577... \right] \frac{1-3x^2}{\sqrt{pq}} dx$ Note γ^4 energy dependence
and $p = \left(\frac{\sigma_h}{\gamma \sigma_x} \right)^2 + x^2 \left(1 - \left(\frac{\sigma_h}{\gamma \sigma_{x'}} \right)^2 \right) = q = \left(\frac{\sigma_h}{\gamma \sigma_y} \right)^2 + x^2 \left(1 - \left(\frac{\sigma_h}{\gamma \sigma_y} \right)^2 \right) \\ &= \sigma_h^2 = \frac{\sigma_p^2 \sigma_{x\beta}^2}{\sigma_{x\beta}^2 + \alpha_c^2 \sigma_p^2} \qquad c^2 = \beta^2 \sigma_h^2 \frac{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_{y\beta}}{r_e} \end{aligned}$

Touschek scattering code analysis Routine structure



- 1. Prepare beamline: Insert scattering objects into beamline, upload beamline setup parameters
- 2. Estimate local momentum aperture: Twiss parameters and bunch parameters are required
- 3. Calculate the integrated loss rate from Piwinski's formula->sFrequency output
- 4. Monte Carlo Simulation: Generate scattered electrons using Monte Carlo method
- 5. Track simulated electrons to the end of the baemline
- Calculate local scattering rate: Calculate the differential scattering rate from Piwinski's formula->LRate, TRate output
- 7. Record beam loss information

平成26年1月28日

Touschek scattering code analysis Monte Carlo simulation & differential scattering rate





3. Calculate differential scattering rate -> LRate, TRate output

$$w = \frac{dN}{dtd\Omega} = \frac{N_e^2 r_e^2 v_{rel}}{\sigma_x \sigma_y \sigma_s \gamma_{CM}^2} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = N_{beam} v_{rel} \rho_{t \arg et} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$$

- $\beta_{CM} \beta$ of 2 particles within a bunch in CM sys.
- $\gamma_{CM} \gamma$ of 2 particles within a bunch in CM sys.
- Ne number of electrons in bunch
- $\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_s$ bunch size
- Ngen number of generated particles
- $d\sigma/d\Omega$ differential Moeller cross-section
- ρ_{target} electron density in "target" bunch

平成26年1月28日